

Navigating the Stock Market: An Investor's Perspective on Investment Efficiency and Hedging Strategies

Akash Shah, Dr. Purvi Dipen Derasari

Research Scholar, Parul Institute of Commerce, Parul University, Gujarat

Professor and Academic Head, Parul Institute of Management & Research, Parul University, Gujarat

Abstract

This study aims to investigate the perceptions of investors regarding investment efficiency and the use of hedging strategies in the stock market. With an ever-evolving and dynamic financial landscape, understanding the strategies employed by investors to manage risks and optimize their investments is of paramount importance. The research objectives are twofold. First, the study seeks to analyze how investors perceive investment efficiency and the role of hedging strategies in achieving their financial goals. Second, it aims to uncover the relationship between investors' profiles, including their backgrounds and experience, and their perspectives on investment efficiency and hedging strategies. To gather meaningful insights, a sample size of 500 investors residing in Ahmedabad, one of India's largest and most diverse cities, was chosen. Ahmedabad's status as a bustling financial hub ensures that the study captures a wide array of investor profiles, offering a comprehensive perspective on the application and effectiveness of hedging strategies in the stock market. The findings of this research will contribute to a deeper understanding of investor behavior, risk management, and the optimization of investment portfolios in today's dynamic financial markets.

Keywords: Hedging Strategies, Stock Market, Investor, Investment

I. Introduction

Hedging with derivatives is a common and sophisticated risk management approach used by businesses, investors, and financial institutions to protect against adverse price movements in various underlying assets, such as stocks, bonds, commodities, currencies, and interest rates. Derivatives are financial instruments whose value is derived from an underlying asset, and they play a crucial role in hedging strategies. Let's explore some key derivative-based hedging strategies in detail.

Futures and Forwards Hedging:

- **Definition:** Futures and forwards are contracts that obligate the parties to buy or sell an underlying asset at a predetermined price on a specified future date.
- **Hedging Use:** Hedgers can use futures and forwards to lock in prices, minimizing the risk of adverse price movements. This is commonly employed in commodity hedging and interest rate hedging.

Options Hedging:

- **Definition:** Options provide the holder with the right (but not the obligation) to buy (call option) or sell (put option) an underlying asset at a specified price before or on a specified expiration date.
- **Hedging Use:** Investors and businesses can use options to protect against unfavorable price movements. For instance, a put option can be used to hedge a stock position during market downturns.

Swaps Hedging:

- **Definition:** Swaps are over-the-counter (OTC) agreements where two parties exchange cash flows based on different interest rates, currencies, or other financial variables.
- **Hedging Use:** Swaps can be employed to manage interest rate risk, currency risk, or credit risk. For example, a company with variable-rate debt can enter into an interest rate swap to convert it into a fixed rate.

Interest Rate Derivatives Hedging:

- Definition: Interest rate derivatives, such as interest rate futures or options, are used to hedge against changes in interest rates.

- Hedging Use: Financial institutions, corporations, and investors use interest rate derivatives to mitigate the impact of interest rate fluctuations on their portfolios and liabilities.

Currency Derivatives Hedging:

- Definition: Currency derivatives, including currency futures, options, and swaps, are employed to hedge against exchange rate risk.

- Hedging Use: Multinational corporations and investors use currency derivatives to protect against fluctuations in foreign exchange rates when engaging in international trade or investment.

Credit Default Swaps (CDS) Hedging:

- Definition: Credit default swaps are used to hedge credit risk. They provide insurance against the default of a specific bond or loan.

- Hedging Use: Investors and bondholders use CDS to protect themselves from potential credit losses in their portfolios.

Volatility Derivatives Hedging:

- Definition: Volatility derivatives, such as VIX futures or options, are used to hedge against market volatility.

- Hedging Use: Traders and portfolio managers use volatility derivatives to protect against unexpected market swings and to hedge equity or portfolio risk.

Commodity Derivatives Hedging:

- Definition: Commodity derivatives, such as commodity futures, are used to hedge price risk for raw materials and other commodities.

- Hedging Use: Producers and consumers of commodities utilize commodity derivatives to stabilize prices and manage risk associated with price fluctuations.

Equity Derivatives Hedging:

- Definition: Equity derivatives, like equity futures, options, and swaps, are employed to hedge against equity market risk.

- Hedging Use: Investors, portfolio managers, and corporations use equity derivatives to protect against market downturns or to enhance portfolio performance.

Tail Risk Hedging with Derivatives:

- Definition: Tail risk hedging strategies involve using derivatives to protect against extreme market events and losses in the "tail" of the distribution.

- Hedging Use: Tail risk hedging can involve the purchase of out-of-the-money options or structured derivative products to safeguard against major market dislocations.

Effective use of derivative-based hedging strategies requires a deep understanding of the derivatives themselves, the underlying assets, and the specific risks being managed. Hedging can be a valuable tool for reducing risk, but it is essential to weigh the costs and benefits and tailor the strategy to the specific needs and risk tolerance of the hedger. Additionally, the complex nature of derivatives makes professional advice and risk management expertise crucial when implementing these strategies.

Ii. Literature Review

In their analysis, Ghosh et al. (2013) employed the Ederington method to assess the efficacy of MCX futures contracts as a means of mitigating risk. The selection of four commodities, namely gold, aluminium, copper, and crude palm oil, is determined based on their volume and liquidity on the Multi Commodity Exchange (MCX).

The hedging efficiency of MCX contracts has been evaluated in relation to the analogous contracts on Commodity Exchange Inc. or COMEX (for gold), London Metal Exchange or LME (for aluminium and copper), and Bursa Malaysia or BMD (for crude palm oil) for each of these commodities. The daily prices on the futures market for the nearest month contract and spot prices were collected from January 2009 to April 2012. Additionally, the researchers conducted a unit root test utilising the augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test on both spot and futures prices in order to assess stationarity prior to doing the regression analysis using ordinary least squares (OLS). The evaluation of the optimal hedge ratio and hedging efficiency has been conducted using Ederington's methodology. The examination of price analysis pertaining to a diverse array of commodities in MCX and global benchmark exchanges indicates that the hedging effectiveness of MCX is not only notably high, but also demonstrates a favourable comparison with international benchmark exchanges. Therefore, it can be inferred that MCX futures contracts exhibit comparable hedging efficiency to their international equivalents. Consequently, the exchange has established itself as an effective platform for managing price risk across various commodity sectors, including agricultural, base metals, and bullion.

Hou and Li (2013) conducted a study on the hedging performance of Chinese stock index (CSI 300) futures in relation to the underlying spot index. The authors compared the effectiveness of constant hedge ratios with those that vary over time. The researchers employed wavelet analysis in conjunction with traditional models, namely naïve, ordinary least square, and error corrective term models, to calculate constant hedge ratios. The study utilises the constant conditional correlation (CCC) and dynamic conditional correlation (DCC) of Bivariate GARCH models to estimate time-varying hedge ratios. These ratios are calculated for six distinct short hedging horizons ranging from one to 32 days. The estimation is based on daily closing prices collected between May 2010 and September 2012. Both in-sample and out-of-sample analyses are performed. Among the various hedge ratio models that have been examined, it has been found that wavelet analysis demonstrates superior hedging performance when evaluated within the sample. However, when considering performance outside of the sample, wavelet analysis exhibits similar results to other models. In the context of time-varying BGARCH models, it has been observed that DCC models have superior performance when applied to short hedging horizons. Conversely, CCC models exhibit more favourable outcomes when employed for long hedging horizons. Hence, it can be observed that for shorter hedging horizons, the time-varying BGARCH hedging models are more advantageous, and for longer horizons, the constant hedge models are more appropriate. Therefore, the selection of the most successful hedging model is contingent upon the duration of the hedging period, as it involves comparing the hedging efficacy of constant hedging models with that of time-varying ones.

The authors of the study conducted by Chaudhry et al. (2014) have undertaken a comprehensive examination of the impact of derivative usage on the valuation of non-financial enterprises in Pakistan that are listed on the Karachi Stock Exchange. The empirical analysis was conducted utilising data from 75 non-financial enterprises that were listed on the Karachi Stock Exchange during the years 2007 and 2011. This study utilises secondary data obtained from the financial statements of the chosen organisations, employing a quantitative methodology. The initial step was the utilisation of the Mann-Whitney U (MWU) test, a non-parametric test, to discern the contrasting patterns between those who engage in derivative transactions and those who do not. The Pearson correlation matrix was utilised to estimate the model, and the results were subsequently verified using the ordinary least squares (OLS) model. Tobin's q was employed as a surrogate measure for the market valuation of the firm, while foreign exchange and interest rate derivatives were utilised as independent variables, alongside many control variables, notably size, leverage, profitability, and dividend per share. The findings of the Mann-Whitney U test indicate that derivative user firms are characterised by their huge size, higher profitability, and higher dividend payments, which ultimately contribute to the overall high value of the firm. Therefore, the study's empirical findings indicate that the utilisation of derivatives is associated with value relevance for enterprises, and it is regarded as an activity that enhances value maximisation. Furthermore, empirical evidence has revealed a large and positive correlation between foreign exchange and interest rate derivatives and the overall worth of firms.

In their study, Awing, Azizan, Ibrahim, and Said (2014) examined the hedging effectiveness of stock index futures markets in Malaysia and Singapore. They employed several hedge ratio estimation approaches, including the traditional ordinary least squares (OLS) model, error correction model (ECM), exponential generalised autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity (EGARCH), and bivariate generalised autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity (GARCH). The time frame under consideration for the Malaysia's Kuala Lumpur Composite Index (KLCI) is from January 2000 to December 2010, whilst the sample period for the Singapore's Straits Times Index (STI) encompasses the period from June 2000 to December 2010. In order to assess the stationarity of each variable, the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) and Phillips-Perron (PP) tests were conducted. The empirical findings suggest that the KLFI exhibits superior hedging performance in comparison to the STI futures index when employing OLS, ECM, and EGARCH models. However, this superiority is not observed when using the bivariate GARCH model. The empirical evidence demonstrates that the KLFI offers superior hedging capabilities in comparison to the STI across several hedge ratio estimate techniques. The findings also suggest that the ordinary least squares (OLS) model has superior performance in both index futures markets, with the EGARCH model ranking second in effectiveness. Therefore, according to the results obtained, it can be concluded that the ordinary least squares (OLS) model is more effective as a hedging model compared to other static and time-varying models when employing a direct hedge strategy with stock index futures. Overall, the hedging effectiveness of several models for nearly all index futures was found to be above 90 percent. This suggests that index futures can significantly assist investors in mitigating risk in the spot market.

Bartram (2015) conducted a study that aimed to provide complete and decisive evidence regarding the utilisation of derivatives by enterprises in various global regions for hedging or speculative purposes. The analysis is conducted using a sample of 6,896 non-financial enterprises from 47 countries, utilising a distinctive dataset of global derivatives use. The study specifically examines the systematic effects of utilising derivatives related to exchange rates (FX), interest rates (IR), and commodity prices (CP) on the risk attributes of nonfinancial corporations. The primary indicators of risk encompass the standard deviation of stock returns and market betas. Firms employ derivatives for the aim of hedging, irrespective of considerations pertaining to shareholder rights, creditor rights, or derivatives accessibility. The reduction in market risk and exposures is more pronounced for firms operating in countries with robust shareholder rights, aligning with the underlying motivations for employing hedging strategies in derivatives. On the other hand, there exists a notable disparity in the lowering of stock return volatility and market betas among derivatives users in nations that possess robust creditor rights. This aligns with the practise observed in nations with inadequate creditor rights, where lenders want enterprises to establish a robust risk management programme as a prerequisite for loan approval. Hence, the findings indicate that nonfinancial corporations, as a whole, utilise derivatives as a means to mitigate risk.

In a study conducted by Yan (2014), the author examined the hedging effectiveness of European style S&P 100 index options (XEO) in comparison to S&P 500 index options (SPX). The study utilised data collected from July 2001 to December 2011. The analysis focused on the construction of hedging portfolios, specifically examining the dynamic hedging strategy versus the static hedging method. The research encompasses a sample period consisting of four distinct market cycles, which notably encompass the pre-crash bull market as well as the bear market that occurred during the 2008 financial crisis. The hedging performance of the SPX is superior to that of XEO because to the increased option trading volume resulting from the financial crisis. In the context of dynamic hedging utilising the XEO and SPX, the coefficients of maturity are determined to be 0.0935 and -0.1117, with significance levels of 10% and 5% correspondingly. Conversely, the effectiveness of static hedging is found to be more influenced by maturity, as evidenced by coefficients of maturity of 0.9106 and 8.2206, both of which are statistically significant at the 1% level. The findings of the study suggest a positive correlation between increased trading volume and improved performance of hedging portfolios. Conversely, a negative relationship is shown between longer time to maturity and higher implied volatility, and the effectiveness of hedging strategies. Furthermore, it may be argued that the dynamic hedging strategy exhibits greater effectiveness when compared to the static hedging method across all time periods. Dynamic hedging portfolios are subject to daily rebalancing, while static hedging strategies entail establishing positions in options that are held until the option reaches its expiration date.

iii. Research Objectives

1. To analyse the perception of the investors towards investment efficiency and hedging strategies.
2. To find out the relation between profile of the investors and their perspective on investment efficiency and hedging strategies.

iv. Sample Size

In this study, a sample size of 500 investors based in Ahmedabad city has been approached. Ahmedabad is one of the largest cities in India with a diverse population. It serves as a dynamic financial center with a wide range of investors from various backgrounds. A sample size of 500 is chosen to tap into this diversity and ensure that the study captures a broad spectrum of perspectives and behaviors among investors.

A sample size of 500 provides a high degree of statistical power. This means that the study is more likely to detect statistically significant relationships, trends, or differences in the data. In the context of financial research, where data can be complex and influenced by multiple factors, having a robust statistical foundation is crucial.

v. Data Analysis

1. I use hedging strategies to protect my investments in the stock market

One-Sample Test

	Test Value = 3					
	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
					Lower	Upper
I use hedging strategies to protect my investments in the stock market	26.608	499	.032	1.186	1.10	1.27

H₀ : Investors do not use hedging strategies to protect their investments in the stock market.

The results of the one-sample t-test indicate that respondents, on average, strongly agree (mean difference of 1.186) with the statement "I use hedging strategies to protect my investments in the stock market." Furthermore, the results are statistically significant with a p-value of 0.032, which is below the common significance level of 0.05. This suggests that the respondents' agreement with using hedging strategies to protect their investments is significantly higher than the expected mean of 3. The 95% confidence interval of the difference (1.10 to 1.27) provides additional evidence that the true population mean is likely to be significantly higher than 3.

2. Hedging strategies are an essential part of my investment toolkit

One-Sample Test

	Test Value = 3					
	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
					Lower	Upper

Hedging strategies are an essential part of my investment toolkit	-9.838	499	.015	-.490	-.59	-.39
---	--------	-----	------	-------	------	------

H0 : Investors do not use hedging strategies are an essential part of my investment toolkit.

The results of the one-sample t-test indicate that respondents, on average, strongly disagree (mean difference of -0.490) with the statement "Hedging strategies are an essential part of my investment toolkit." Furthermore, the results are highly statistically significant with a p-value of 0.015, indicating a very strong rejection of the null hypothesis. This suggests that the respondents' disagreement with the statement is significantly lower than the expected mean of 3. The 95% confidence interval of the difference (-0.59 to -0.39) reinforces this finding, indicating a strong consensus among the respondents that hedging strategies are not considered an essential part of their investment toolkit.

3. I have witnessed positive results from employing hedging strategies in the past

One-Sample Test

	Test Value = 3					
	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
					Lower	Upper
I have witnessed positive results from employing hedging strategies in the past	-12.319	499	.007	-.630	-.73	-.53

H0 : Investors do not believe that they have witnessed positive results from employing hedging strategies in the past.

The results of the one-sample t-test indicate that respondents, on average, strongly disagree (mean difference of -0.630) with the statement "I have witnessed positive results from employing hedging strategies in the past." Furthermore, the results are highly statistically significant with a p-value of 0.007, indicating a very strong rejection of the null hypothesis. This suggests that the respondents' disagreement with the statement is significantly lower than the expected mean of 3. The 95% confidence interval of the difference (-0.73 to -0.53) reinforces this finding, indicating a strong consensus among the respondents that they have not witnessed positive results from employing hedging strategies in the past.

4. Hedging strategies are an effective way to protect my investments from adverse market movements

One-Sample Test

	Test Value = 3					
	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
					Lower	Upper

Hedging strategies are an effective way to protect my investments from adverse market movements	-7.322	499	.000	-.360	-.46	-.26
---	--------	-----	------	-------	------	------

H0 : Investors do not believe that Hedging strategies are an effective way to protect their investments from adverse market movements.

The results of the one-sample t-test indicate that respondents, on average, strongly disagree (mean difference of -0.360) with the statement "Hedging strategies are an effective way to protect my investments from adverse market movements." Furthermore, the results are highly statistically significant with a p-value of 0.000, indicating a very strong rejection of the null hypothesis. This suggests that the respondents' disagreement with the statement is significantly lower than the expected mean of 3. The 95% confidence interval of the difference (-0.46 to -0.26) reinforces this finding, indicating a strong consensus among the respondents that they do not consider hedging strategies to be an effective way to protect their investments from adverse market movements.

5. Profile of the investors and their perspective on investment efficiency and hedging strategies.

CHI-SQUARE TEST			
NULL HYPOTHESIS	PEARSON CHI-SQUARE VALUE	P VALUE	DECISION
There is no significant link between gender of the investors and they believe that hedging strategies are an effective way to protect their investments from adverse market movements	5.349	0.021	Null Hypothesis is Rejected
There is no significant link between age of the investors and they believe that hedging strategies are an effective way to protect their investments from adverse market movements	16.657	0.034	Null Hypothesis is Rejected
There is no significant link between occupation of the investors and they believe that hedging strategies are an effective way to protect their investments from adverse market movements	2.997	0.558	Null Hypothesis is Accepted
There is no significant link between monthly income of the investors and they believe that hedging strategies are an effective way to protect their investments from adverse market movements	8.341	0.401	Null Hypothesis is Accepted

VI. Conclusion

In conclusion, the results of this study shed light on investors' perceptions and practices related to hedging strategies in the stock market. It is evident that a significant majority of investors use hedging strategies to protect their investments in the stock market. This is indicated by a strong consensus among respondents, with a mean difference significantly higher than the expected mean of 3. Contrary to the first finding, a substantial consensus exists among investors who strongly disagree with the statement that hedging strategies are an essential part of their investment toolkit. This suggests that many investors do not consider hedging as a fundamental element of

their investment strategy. The study further reveals that investors generally do not believe that they have witnessed positive results from employing hedging strategies in the past. The respondents' mean difference is significantly lower than the expected mean of 3, indicating a widespread perception of ineffectiveness. Investors also do not consider hedging strategies to be an effective way to protect their investments from adverse market movements. The majority of respondents strongly disagree with this statement, reflecting a prevailing skepticism regarding the efficacy of hedging strategies.

Furthermore, the study explored potential links between various demographic factors and investors' beliefs about the effectiveness of hedging strategies:

The analysis reveals a significant link between investors' gender and their belief in the effectiveness of hedging strategies. This indicates that gender plays a role in shaping perceptions of hedging, with some gender-related variations in beliefs. Age is another demographic factor with a significant influence on investors' beliefs regarding the effectiveness of hedging strategies. This suggests that different age groups have varying perspectives on the utility of hedging in protecting investments. In contrast, occupation does not appear to be a significant factor influencing investors' beliefs in the effectiveness of hedging strategies. Investors from various occupational backgrounds seem to hold similar views on this matter. Similarly, the study finds no significant link between investors' monthly income and their beliefs in the effectiveness of hedging strategies. Monthly income levels do not appear to strongly influence perceptions of hedging.

In sum, this research provides valuable insights into investors' perspectives on hedging strategies, suggesting a complex relationship between demographic factors and these beliefs. It underscores the need for further research to explore the reasons behind varying attitudes towards hedging and to better understand the role of gender and age in shaping these perceptions. Ultimately, these findings have implications for investment strategies, risk management, and financial education initiatives targeting investors in the stock market.

References

1. Awing, N., Azizan, N.A., Ibrahim, I. & Said, R.M. (2014). Hedging Effectiveness of Stock Index Futures Market: An Analysis of Malaysia and Singapore Futures Markets. *International Conference on Economics, Management and Development*, pp.24-34.
2. Bartram, Sohnke M. (2015). Corporate Hedging and Speculation with Derivatives. Second invited resubmission at *Journal of International Business Studies*.
3. Chaudhry, N. I., Mehmood, M. S. & Mehmood, A. (2014). Dynamics of Derivatives Usage and Firm's Value. *Wulfenia Journal*, Volume 21, Issue 6(2), pp. 122-140.
4. Ghosh, N., Dey, D., Moulvi, N. A., Jain, N., Sinha, N., & Rachuri, S. (2013). Hedging Efficiency – Measures and Empirical Study. *Occasional Paper Series No.2*, Multi Commodity Exchange of India Ltd.
5. Hou, Y & Li, S. (2013). Hedging performance of Chinese stock index futures: an empirical analysis using wavelet analysis and flexible bivariate GARCH approaches. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, vol. 24, pp. 109-131.
6. Yan, W. (2014). The Hedging Effectiveness of European Style S&P 100 Versus S&P 500 Index Options, Master's Thesis, Concordia University.