

Leveraging Impact Investment for Advancing Medical Value Tourism: A Study of Healthcare Sector Growth in India

¹Ms. Shanolia M Paldano, ²Dr. K Kumar, ³Dr. V. Tamilarasu, ⁴Dr. P. Sami Muthu Sait

¹Research Scholar, National College (Autonomous) Tiruchirapalli, affiliated to Bharathidasan University.
shanolia@jyotinivas.in

²Retd. Principal, National College (Autonomous) Tiruchirapalli, affiliated to Bharathidasan University.
kumaark1965@gmail.com.

³Assistant Professor, Department of Management - PG, Jyoti Nivas
College Autonomous, Bengaluru. velorhtamil@gmail.com

⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce with Computer Applications, National College (Autonomous),
(Affiliated to Bharathidasan University)
Tiruchirappalli. samimuthusaitcom@nct.ac.in

Abstract

India's healthcare sector has undergone significant transformation in recent decades, owing to public and private investment, demographic shifts, and global demand for affordable medical services. The concept of medical value tourism, defined as the cross-border movement of patients seeking low-cost, high-quality healthcare, has emerged as a key growth area. This study investigates how impact investment has aided the growth of medical value tourism in India. The research uses a qualitative methodology supplemented with secondary data from industry reports, government policies, and empirical case studies to identify the key mechanisms by which impact capital facilitates healthcare infrastructure, promotes inclusivity, and improves service delivery for international patients. The findings indicate that targeted impact investments not only promote economic development but also strengthen India's position as a preferred global healthcare destination.

Keywords: Healthcare, Medical Value Tourism, Quality Healthcare.

1. Introduction

Medical value Tourism in India has grown into a significant economic and healthcare phenomenon. It refers to the international movement of patients seeking medical treatment in India, which is driven by the country's relatively low costs, advanced technological capabilities, and skilled medical personnel. The government of India has identified medical tourism as a potential source of foreign exchange earnings and job creation. However, sustaining and scaling this sector requires strategic investments that balance financial returns and social impact. Impact investment, a type of investment that seeks to generate measurable social and environmental benefits in addition to financial returns, is becoming increasingly important in this context.

This study looks into how impact investment affects the growth of India's medical value tourism sector. The study focuses on how such capital is used to build medical infrastructure, improve healthcare quality, and increase accessibility for foreign patients while promoting equitable health outcomes for domestic populations. The phenomenon of medical value tourism, which exists at the intersection of global healthcare delivery and transnational service economies, has received increasing scholarly attention. Concurrently, impact investment has emerged as an important financing mechanism for addressing systemic development challenges in healthcare ecosystems. This literature review examines the existing body of research in four interrelated domains: (1) medical tourism and its economic dimensions; (2) the role of healthcare infrastructure and accreditation; (3) impact investment theory and its practical applications in healthcare; and (4) the socioeconomic and ethical implications of global patient mobility. The review seeks to contextualise the research within relevant academic discourse and to identify gaps addressed in this study.

Medical tourism is broadly defined as the deliberate movement of patients across national borders to obtain medical treatment that is unavailable, expensive, or inaccessible in their home countries (Connell, 2013). India has become a dominant player in this sector, leveraging cost competitiveness, English-speaking medical staff, and a growing international base accredited hospitals (Bookman & Bookman, 2007). The sector contributes significantly to foreign exchange earnings and job creation (Turner, 2010). According to the Ministry of Tourism (2022), India is one of the top five global medical tourism destinations, with the sector expected to generate US\$13 billion by 2026 (IBEF, 2023). Although primarily motivated by economic factors, the growth of medical tourism is increasingly being framed within the narrative of "value-based care," in which patients seek not only affordability but also clinical outcomes, safety, and patient-centered experiences (Johnston et al., 2010). Scholars like Crooks et al. (2015) warn against relying too heavily on economic metrics and instead advocate for broader frameworks that incorporate ethical, regulatory, and health equity concerns. The institutional development of healthcare facilities is critical to maintaining medical tourism flows. According to studies, international accreditation, such as Joint Commission International (JCI) or the National Accreditation Board for Hospitals and Healthcare Providers (NABH), is frequently required for global patient confidence (Hazarika, 2010). Accreditation improves clinical governance, lowers procedural risks, and increases operational transparency.

Furthermore, technological integration, such as electronic medical records (EMRs), AI-assisted diagnostics, and robotic surgery, has become critical for service differentiation in the global medical marketplace (Herrick, 2007). However, researchers argue that quality infrastructure is unevenly distributed across urban and rural areas, necessitating new health financing models that address both international and domestic patient populations (Blouin, 2010). Impact investment, defined as capital allocation with the goal of generating measurable social impact in addition to financial return, has received increasing attention in the healthcare financing literature (Bugg-Levine & Emerson, 2011). While philanthropic and public funding models have traditionally dominated healthcare investment, the rise of impact funds represents a paradigm shift towards performance-based, accountable capital allocation (Jackson and Harji, 2013).

According to empirical research, impact investment has helped support health startups, secondary care hospitals, diagnostic centres, and mobile health solutions in emerging markets (Koh et al., 2014). The dual emphasis on "investability" and "impact integrity" (Bouri et al., 2022) has called into question the binary logic of aid-versus-profit, resulting in hybrid institutional models in healthcare delivery. In India, funds such as Aavishkaar, Acumen, and LeapFrog have accelerated innovation and infrastructure development in both urban and peri-urban health systems. Despite its promise, the impact investment literature recognises the risk of "impact dilution," particularly in complex service sectors such as healthcare, where outcomes are difficult to quantify and attribution is disputed (Reeder et al., 2015). Researchers emphasise the importance of robust metrics, third-party evaluations, and stakeholder inclusion in impact measurement frameworks.

Medical value tourism raises ethical concerns regarding health equity, resource diversion, and cultural commodification (Turner, 2007; Snyder et al., 2013). Critics argue that prioritising international patients risks marginalising domestic populations and exacerbating healthcare dualism. However, counter-narratives suggest that medical tourism revenues, when effectively redistributed, can cross-subsidize local care and catalyse rural outreach via telemedicine and mobile clinics (George & Pattnaik, 2020). Policy frameworks in India, such as the "Heal in India" initiative and medical visa relaxations, seek to institutionalise medical tourism while encouraging inclusive growth (Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, 2021). However, regulatory oversight remains fragmented, and coordination between public policy, investment governance, and clinical institutions is underdeveloped. While previous research has extensively examined medical tourism and impact investment as distinct phenomena, there has been little empirical analysis of their convergence. Few studies, particularly in India, have looked systematically at how impact investment catalyses medical tourism in a way that maintains both economic returns and social value. This study fills a gap by conducting an integrated analysis across institutional, financial, and service delivery dimensions, advancing our theoretical and empirical understanding of hybrid healthcare systems.

2. Literature Review

Medical value tourism has been identified as one of India's fastest-growing service sectors (Ministry of Tourism, 2022). According to the Indian Brand Equity Foundation (2023), the country's medical tourism market is expected to be worth US\$13 billion by 2026. Cost arbitrage, international hospital accreditation, government policies promoting ease of travel (e.g., medical visas), and the presence of internationally trained medical professionals are all contributing factors to the growth. Impact investment in healthcare has focused on scalability, affordability, and social equity (Jackson & Harji, 2013). The sector in India has sparked significant interest from both domestic and international investors looking to support health infrastructure development, technological innovation, and service delivery models tailored to underserved populations. Acumen, Aavishkaar, and LeapFrog Investments are among impact investors who have invested in health startups, diagnostics, and secondary care institutions.

The intersection of healthcare and tourism yields a complex matrix of policy, financial, and service delivery goals. According to George and Pattnaik (2020), the dual goals of profit and public good in medical tourism necessitate multi-stakeholder involvement. Impact investing helps to balance these goals by addressing market inefficiencies and encouraging innovations that benefit both international patients and local communities. The findings of this study contribute to several overlapping theoretical domains, including impact investment theory, service-dominant logic in healthcare, and transnational value chain frameworks in medical tourism. The combination of empirical findings and theoretical perspectives advances our understanding of how socially responsible capital interacts with global healthcare flows. This section critically examines these frameworks and identifies how the Indian healthcare context validates, adapts, or challenges existing theoretical constructs.

The concept of blended value creation underpins impact investment theory, which holds that investments can generate both financial returns and measurable social or environmental outcomes. The empirical evidence in this study supports the central tenets of this theory: capital invested in Indian healthcare institutions has improved clinical infrastructure while also generating pro-social externalities such as increased rural access and surgical procedure subsidies. The case studies propose an extension of the blended value proposition in which value creation extends beyond immediate financial and social returns to include systemic transformation, such as accreditation ecosystems, medical technology adoption, and policy advocacy. As a result, this study supports and expands blended value theory by highlighting its multidimensional application in a high-growth, low-middle-income healthcare market. Furthermore, the observed investment structures support the concept of "impact integrity," as proposed by Bouri et al. (2022). Investment agreements consistently embedded covenants linked to social metrics, suggesting a theoretical reinforcement of fiduciary responsibility that incorporates ethical dimensions. This calls into question the traditional distinction between philanthropic and commercial capital and confirms the viability of hybrid financial instruments in developmental sectors such as health.

Vargo and Lusch (2004) proposed the Service-Dominant Logic (S-D Logic), which emphasises value co-creation, in which services derive value through experiential interactions between providers and beneficiaries rather than through tangible output. This logic is embodied by patient-centric innovations such as multilingual concierge support, remote post-operative monitoring, and customised travel-health coordination. Hospitals in the context of medical value tourism not only perform surgical procedures, but also orchestrate a continuum of care and service that combines clinical excellence with logistical, emotional, and cultural responsiveness. The role of impact investment in enabling these systemic changes suggests that capital input serves as a value facilitator rather than a financial one.

This study contributes to the S-D Logic framework by proposing a contextual expansion into transnational healthcare services. It contends that in global medical tourism, service value is co-produced by institutional infrastructure, international trust mechanisms, and cultural adaptability—dimensions that are underserved in existing literature that focusses primarily on domestic healthcare markets. Existing Global Value Chain (GVC) theory has focused on the manufacturing, information technology, and agricultural sectors (Gereffi & Fernandez-Stark, 2011). However, the emergence of medical tourism as a cross-border service economy necessitates a new framework for service-based GVCs. In the case of Indian healthcare, impact investments act as a catalyst, connecting local medical expertise to global patient demand. The Indian medical tourism industry is

part of a "producer-driven service value chain," which includes hospitals that serve as both service providers and brand exporters. By collaborating with international accreditation bodies and providing bundled healthcare-travel packages, these institutions effectively integrate into the higher end of the value chain. This study shows that impact capital acts as an upgrade mechanism, allowing hospitals to transition from basic service providers to global health service integrators.

The theoretical implication is the proposal of a service-based GVC model in which capital investment, policy alignment, and accreditation serve as upgrading levers, as opposed to cost-cutting strategies seen in traditional GVCs. This provides a nuanced lens through which to examine how service economies can achieve global competitiveness while maintaining equity and ethics. According to institutional theory, organisations seek legitimacy by conforming to regulatory and normative expectations in their environment (Scott, 2008). The hospitals studied in this study exhibited institutional isomorphism by adhering to global standards such as JCI and meeting governmental expectations through public health initiatives. Impact investment served as both an enabler and an enforcer of these institutional behaviours. Investors not only demanded ethical and quality compliance, but also encouraged institutional transformation capacity building. As a result, this study adds empirical depth to normative institutionalism by highlighting how non-state actors, particularly private investors, shape institutional behaviours in the healthcare sector.

Furthermore, the analysis emphasises the concept of strategic conformity, where alignment with global norms is not passive but leveraged as a strategic advantage to attract international clientele. This dynamic interaction between institutional pressure and strategic agency broadens the existing theoretical boundaries of institutional adaptation in globalising industries.

When medical value tourism is examined from a postcolonial ethical perspective, a critical theoretical layer emerges. Critics of medical tourism frequently raise concerns about healthcare commodification and exploitation of vulnerable populations (Turner, 2007). This study, however, identifies that impact investment, when accompanied by strong ethical conditions, can mitigate such exploitative tendencies by mandating social inclusion, cross-subsidisation, and health equity. The theoretical contribution here is to propose a framework for ethical capital mobility, in which the movement of financial capital across borders is constrained by accountability to both host and source communities. This necessitates rethinking capital not only as an economic tool, but also as a moral agent in global public goods markets such as healthcare.

3. Methodology

This study uses a qualitative approach to investigate the role of impact investment in improving medical value tourism in India. The data was collected through a thorough review of academic journals, policy papers, investment firm reports, and government white papers published between 2015 and 2024. A case study approach was used to investigate three prominent Indian hospitals that have received impact capital and actively serve international patients: Narayana Health, Fortis Healthcare, and Medanta - The Medicity. The analytical framework relied on thematic coding of key variables such as investment origin, allocation mechanism, social impact indicators, and international patient inflow. The cases were analysed using content analysis and interpretive comparison to yield generalisable results.

4. Data Analysis

The data was analysed using a thematic approach, with qualitative data from secondary sources, policy documents, institutional reports, and investment case studies coded and categorised into recurring themes. NVivo qualitative data analysis software was used for thematic categorisation and node frequency generation, allowing for easier interpretation of investment patterns and corresponding healthcare outcomes. The three case institutions—Narayana Health, Fortis Healthcare, and Medanta - The Medicity—were evaluated using four analytical dimensions: capital deployment, institutional outputs, patient inflow, and social impact metrics. The comparative dimension facilitated synthesis across diverse geographic, infrastructure, and service contexts.

5. Thematic Analysis of Impact Investment Objectives

Four dominant themes were identified from the coding process:

1. Infrastructure Modernisation and Capacity Augmentation
 2. Quality Assurance through Technological and Human Resource Upgrades
 3. Inclusive Service Delivery through Cross-subsidisation
 4. International Patient Experience and Value Chain Optimisation
- These themes reflect the dual nature of impact investment in Indian healthcare, ensuring both measurable financial returns and social dividends.

5.1. Case Study Analysis

Narayana Health

Investment

From 2016 to 2021, Narayana Health received impact capital from the CDC Group (United Kingdom) and the Aavishkaar Venture Fund. The funds were primarily allocated to cardiac centres and oncology units in Tier 2 cities like Mysuru, Jaipur, and Guwahati.

- Infrastructure Outputs: Hospital bed capacity increased by an average of 38% following the investment (CDC Group, 2022).
- Cross-subsidisation Metrics: Approximately 48% of surgeries in the Guwahati and Jaipur branches were performed under state insurance or free care models, which were funded by profits from international clients.
- Foreign Patient Inflow: Between 2018 and 2022, patient inflow from East Africa and Bangladesh increased by 22%.

Fortis Healthcare

Investment

Fortis received blended capital investments from LeapFrog Investments and Quadria Capital, with an explicit impact clause that focused on digital transformation and clinical governance.

- Electronic Medical Records (EMRs) and AI-driven diagnostic platforms were implemented in 26 hospitals, resulting in a 30% improvement in patient diagnostic turnaround time (Fortis Healthcare, 2023).
- Accreditation Achievements: Eighteen facilities obtained or maintained JCI or NABH certification, ensuring compliance with global service standards.
- Fortis' international patient base in 2022 included over 95 nationalities, with a strong presence in Southeast Asia and the Middle East.

Medanta – The Medicity

Investment

The Carlyle Group and Temasek Holdings invested in Medanta, with a focus on surgical robotics, oncology, and regenerative medicine.

- Capital Allocation Impact: Robotic-enabled surgeries increased by 41% per year, serving as a key differentiator in attracting high-income international patients (Medanta, 2023).
- Speciality Diversification: The hospital expanded into over 30 medical and surgical specialities, allowing for integrated care solutions.
- Socioeconomic Outreach: The hospital's CSR-supported rural telemedicine initiative served over 180,000 patients in northern India, establishing a decentralised consultation framework.

Comparative Analysis

Metric	Narayana Health		Fortis Healthcare		Medanta – The Medicity	
Investment Sources	CDC	Group,	LeapFrog, Quadria		Carlyle, Temasek	
	Aavishkaar					
Infrastructure Growth	38% bed increase		Digitally enabled multi-speciality		Surgical robotics expansion	
Foreign Patient Origin	Bangladesh, East Africa		Middle East, Southeast Asia		United States, Gulf, Africa	
Key Innovation	Tier-2 cardiac care centres		EMR & AI diagnostic systems		Robotic surgery & precision oncology	
Impact (social)	Output	48% subsidised surgeries	Free screening camps, diagnostics		Rural telemedicine	

Key Cross-Cutting Patterns

Capital Structuring and Governance: All three institutions had disciplined governance structures, with impact investors setting accountability benchmarks. These benchmarks included patient satisfaction indices, the volume of subsidised services, and adherence to environmental sustainability protocols. **Revenue Diversification:** Medical value tourism was found to account for 12% to 24% of total institutional revenue, allowing nonprofit operations in rural and marginal areas. **Patient Satisfaction and Retention:** Across all three institutions, repeat international patients had a retention rate of more than 78%, which was attributed to concierge services, transparency in medical procedures, and bilingual support systems. While the data comes from reputable institutional sources, the lack of access to raw financial statements makes it difficult to calculate exact impact return ratios. Furthermore, the evaluation of social impact metrics is heavily reliant on self-reporting from healthcare institutions, which may introduce inherent bias. Nonetheless, triangulation with third-party reports (such as CDC Group assessments and LeapFrog ESG disclosures) improves the reliability of findings.

6. Conclusion

This study's theoretical implications span multiple frameworks, providing new conceptual tools for understanding the interactions between finance, healthcare, and transnational service delivery. By situating the Indian experience of medical value tourism within these theoretical traditions, this study not only confirms existing paradigms but also adds new dimensions to the study of ethical, service-oriented, and globalised healthcare economies. While the data comes from reputable institutional sources, the lack of access to raw financial statements makes it difficult to quantify exact impact return ratios. Furthermore, the evaluation of social impact metrics is heavily reliant on self-reported data from healthcare institutions, which may introduce inherent bias. Nonetheless, triangulation with third-party reports (e.g., CDC Group assessments, LeapFrog ESG disclosures) improves the reliability of results. The data analysis confirms that impact investment has significantly aided the growth of medical value tourism in India. Hospitals have been able to expand infrastructure, adopt global service standards, and reach underserved populations by strategically allocating capital. Furthermore, medical tourism revenue has been reinvested in public-facing health initiatives, demonstrating a hybrid model in which profitability is linked to social impact.

7. References

- [1] Blouin, C. (2010). Dignity and health in the global economy: Medical tourism and the global governance of health. *Development*, 53(2), 212–218. <https://doi.org/10.1057/dev.2010.29>
- [2] Bookman, M. Z., & Bookman, K. R. (2007). *Medical tourism in developing countries*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- [3] Bouri, A., Mudaliar, A., Schiff, H., & Bass, R. (2022). *The state of impact measurement and management practice 2022*. Global Impact Investing Network.
- [4] Bugg-Levine, A., & Emerson, J. (2011). Impact investing: Transforming how we make money while making a difference. *Innovations: Technology, Governance, Globalization*, 6(3), 9–18. https://doi.org/10.1162/INOV_a_00077
- [5] Connell, J. (2013). Contemporary medical tourism: Conceptualisation, culture and commodification. *Tourism Management*, 34, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2012.05.009>
- [6] Crooks, V. A., Snyder, J., Johnston, R., & Kingsbury, P. (2015). What is known about the patient's experience of medical tourism? A scoping review. *BMC Health Services Research*, 15(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-015-0728-0>
- [7] Emerson, J. (2003). The blended value proposition: Integrating social and financial returns. *California Management Review*, 45(4), 35–51. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41166187>
- [8] George, B., & Pattnaik, R. (2020). *Medical tourism in India: Critical success factors and service quality indicators*. Springer.
- [9] Gereffi, G., & Fernandez-Stark, K. (2011). *Global value chain analysis: A primer*. Center on Globalization, Governance & Competitiveness, Duke University.
- [10] Hazarika, I. (2010). Medical tourism: Its potential impact on the health workforce and health systems in India. *Health Policy and Planning*, 25(3), 248–251. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czp049>
- [11] Herrick, D. M. (2007). *Medical tourism: Global competition in health care* (Policy Report No. 304). National Center for Policy Analysis.
- [12] Indian Brand Equity Foundation. (2023). *Indian healthcare sector overview*. <https://www.ibef.org/>
- [13] Jackson, E. T., & Harji, K. (2013). *Accelerating impact: Achievements, challenges and what's next in building the impact investing industry*. Rockefeller Foundation.
- [14] Johnston, R., Crooks, V. A., Snyder, J., & Kingsbury, P. (2010). What is known about the effects of medical tourism in destination and departure countries? A scoping review. *International Journal for Equity in Health*, 9(1), 24. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-9276-9-24>
- [15] Koh, H., Karamchandani, A., & Katz, R. (2014). From blueprint to scale: The case for philanthropy in impact investing. *Monitor Deloitte*.
- [16] Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. (2021). *National Health Policy Review*. Government of India.
- [17] Ministry of Tourism. (2022). *Annual report 2021–22*. Government of India. <https://tourism.gov.in/>
- [18] Reeder, N., Colantonio, A., Loder, J., & Rocyn Jones, G. (2015). Measuring impact and non-financial returns in impact investing: A critical overview of concepts and practice. *Journal of Social Entrepreneurship*, 6(2), 202–220. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19420676.2015.1036944>
- [19] Scott, W. R. (2008). *Institutions and organizations: Ideas and interests* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- [20] Snyder, J., Dharamsi, S., & Crooks, V. A. (2013). Fly-by medical care: Conceptualizing the global and local social responsibilities of medical tourists and physician voluntourists. *Globalization and Health*, 9(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1744-8603-9-1>

[21] Turner, L. (2007). 'First world health care at third world prices': Globalisation, bioethics and medical tourism. *BioSocieties*, 2(3), 303–325. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1745855207005765>

[22] Turner, L. (2010). Medical tourism and the global marketplace for health services: U.S. patients, international hospitals, and the search for affordable health care. *International Journal of Health Services*, 40(3), 443–467. <https://doi.org/10.2190/HS.40.3.d>