

**Climate Narratives and the Construction of Sustainability
Discourses: Policy Instruments and their Influence on Sustainability
Transitions in India**

Dr Kusum Lata Bawlia., Dr Payal Jain

Asst Prof (Selection grade) VSLLS, VIPS-TC, Pitampura Delhi.

Sr. Asst. Professor, VSLLS, VIPS-TC. Pitampura Delhi.

Abstract: Climate narratives linked to the Sustainable Development Goals present climate change as integral to development, equity, and social justice. They connect SDG 13 (Climate Action) with goals on poverty, health, gender equality, and energy, emphasizing inclusive growth, resilience and adaptation. This integrated narrative guides policymaking that balances environmental protection with socio-economic priorities and sustainable development. In 2025, **India ranked 99th out of 167 countries** in the *Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) Index* compiled by the **United Nations Sustainable Development Solutions Network (UNSDSN)**. For the first time, India has secured a position within the top 100 of the global *Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) Index*, achieving a rank of **99th out of 167 countries** in 2025¹. In SDG Index Score, India's score was around 66.95–67 on a 0–100 scale. The **SDG India Index, a framework developed by NITI Aayog**, and the SDG National Indicator Framework (NIF) are domestic policy tools that facilitate the evidence-based monitoring of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Despite improvements, significant hurdles remain and considerable challenges persist, particularly in areas like **environmental sustainability** (including climate action and biodiversity) continues to lag relative to other goals. **Regional disparities** and **data gaps** affect uniform progress across goals and states. Globally, only about **35% of SDG targets are on track**, indicating systemic challenges remain. To complement the global index, India's SDG Index tracks progress through its **own SDG Index** score (national framework) reflecting improvement **from 57 in 2018 to 71 by 2023–24**, on domestic indicators across multiple goals. This index evaluates economic, social and environmental metrics across states and union territories and fosters competitive federalism for SDG delivery² Positive societal shifts include extreme poverty reduction, better basic health and education, and job creation through sustainability programs, expanded renewable energy, and strengthened social infrastructure (e.g., housing, health insurance).

Objectives of study

The evaluate of India's progress through analysis of the conceptual relationship between climate narratives and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly the interlinkages between SDG 13 (Climate Action) and socio-economic goals such as poverty reduction, health, gender equality, and sustainable energy with particular attention to the sustainability metrics provided by the SDG India Index from NITI Aayog and the SDG Index rankings and scores released by the United Nations Sustainable Development Solutions Network (UNSDSN) gives an assessment of the achievement of India's institutional and policy frameworks, specifically the **SDG National Indicator Framework (NIF)** and the **SDG India Index** through evidence-based policymaking. This involves examining how these mechanisms are utilized to monitor and implement the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) within the country. The objective of the research is to evaluate the success of Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) implementation at the local level in India. This involves specifically examining how state governments, urban local bodies, and local governance institutions translate global SDG obligations into development policies tailored to specific local contextual needs. The analysis will focus on identifying regional disparities and structural challenges that impede the implementation of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in India. The focus will be placed specifically on environmental sustainability,

¹ https://dashboards.sdgindex.org/profiles/india/?utm_source=chatgpt.com.

² https://niti.gov.in/hi/competitive-federalism/overview-sustainable-development-goals?utm_source=chatgpt.com

holistic development and sustainable infrastructure. The core mission and strategic priorities of the article will be dedicated to three interdependent and critically important areas:

Environmental Sustainability: This encompasses a broad range of initiatives aimed at minimizing negative human impact on the planet. Key efforts will include promoting resource efficiency, transitioning to circular economy models, reducing pollution across air, water, and soil, and fostering responsible consumption and production patterns with the goal to ensure that current needs are met without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.

Climate Action: Recognizing the urgency of the global climate crisis, significant emphasis will be placed on both mitigation and adaptation strategies. Mitigation efforts will center on deep decarbonization, accelerating the shift from fossil fuels to renewable energy sources, improving energy storage and distribution, and reducing greenhouse gas emissions across all sectors (e.g., industry, transportation, agriculture). Adaptation efforts will involve building resilience in vulnerable communities and ecosystems to withstand the inevitable impacts of climate change, such as extreme weather events, sea-level rise, and changing precipitation patterns.

Conservation of Biodiversity: Protecting the variety of life on Earth from genes to ecosystems is a fundamental pillar. This involves safeguarding natural habitats, restoring degraded ecosystems (such as forests, wetlands, and coral reefs), preventing the extinction of threatened and endangered species, and promoting sustainable land and marine management practices. Crucially, efforts will include recognizing the intrinsic value of nature and the essential ecosystem services it provides, which underpin human well-being and economic prosperity. These three areas will serve as the guiding framework for all future policies, investments, and collaborations, ensuring a holistic and integrated approach to planetary health. The core objective of the study is to **analyze structural challenges and regional disparities in India** that impede Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) implementation. This analysis specifically requires an evaluation of **the societal impact of SDG-oriented policies in India**, including outcomes in poverty reduction, healthcare access, education, renewable energy expansion, and sustainable employment generation. **The examination of the impact of cooperative federalism in SDG governance** deliberately interwoven coordination between the Union Government, NITI Aayog, and state governments in achieving national SDG targets **to propose policy recommendations for strengthening SDG localisation and climate governance**, ensuring more equitable, participatory, and sustainable development outcomes in India. The study further analyses policy outcomes in sectors such as climate action, renewable energy, health, and education to understand the broader societal impact of SDG-aligned governance and cooperation. Furthermore, the study analyzes policy outcomes in sectors such as climate action, renewable energy, health and education to understand the broader societal impact of SDG-aligned governance and cooperative mechanisms.

The sustainability discourse in India is shaped by a confluence of instruments and developments, the **doctrinal component** involves a systematic analysis of key legal and policy instruments, including the **Global Commitments under** International frameworks, notably the United Nations' *2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*, **Domestic Governance through** National policies and statutory instruments, including the frameworks established by **NITI Aayog**, the *SDG National Indicator Framework (NIF)* and foundational environmental legislation such as the *Environment (Protection) Act, 1986*. Along with **Judicial Precedents** such as the Supreme Court's decision in *M.C. Mehta v. Union of India*, which significantly expanded the scope of environmental protection under Article 21 of the Constitution. The **empirical policy analysis** evaluates India's performance on SDG indicators using secondary quantitative data from authoritative sources, including the *The Sustainable Development Report* (United Nations Sustainable Development Solutions Network). The *SDG India Index* (NITI Aayog) and Statistical datasets from the *United Nations Development Programme* and the *World Bank*. This analysis includes a **comparative assessment** of national and sub-national SDG indicators to assess performance trends and Identify regional disparities across states and union territories and evaluate the effectiveness of SDG localization initiatives. This study adopts a **mixed methodological approach combining doctrinal legal analysis with empirical policy evaluation** to examine the implementation and localisation of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in India, particularly in relation to climate governance and sustainable development. The **doctrinal component** involves a systematic analysis of relevant **international frameworks, national policies, statutory instruments, and judicial developments** concerning climate change and sustainable development. Key sources include the **2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development adopted by**

the United Nations, policy frameworks developed by NITI Aayog, the **SDG National Indicator Framework (NIF)**, and environmental legislation such as the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and judicial pronouncements that shape climate governance and sustainable development jurisprudence are also examined, including decisions of the Supreme Court of India such as **M.C. Mehta v. Union of India**, which expanded environmental protection under Article 21 of the Constitution. The **empirical policy analysis** component evaluates India's performance on SDG indicators using secondary quantitative data drawn from authoritative institutional reports, including the **Sustainable Development Report prepared by the United Nations Sustainable Development Solutions Network**, the **SDG India Index published by NITI Aayog**, and statistical datasets from the **United Nations Development Programme** and the **World Bank**. Comparative analysis of national and sub-national SDG indicators is undertaken to assess trends in India's SDG performance, identify regional disparities across states and union territories, and evaluate the effectiveness of SDG localisation initiatives. This study employs a **mixed-methods approach** to examine the implementation and localization of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in India, specifically concerning climate governance and sustainable development. The research combines **doctrinal legal analysis with empirical policy evaluation**.

SDG Localisation

Localisation of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) refers to translating global SDG targets into **context-specific policies, plans, budgets, and indicators at the state, district, urban local body (ULB), and village levels**, ensuring that development responds to local needs and disparities. At the policy level, localisation involves integrating SDGs into sub-national planning frameworks, assigning institutional responsibility to local governments, using disaggregated data for monitoring and encouraging community participation and accountability. India's SDG localisation is anchored in a cooperative federalism model, coordinated by NITI Aayog in alignment with United Nations SDGs. Several Indian states have prepared State SDG Vision Documents aligning flagship schemes with SDGs like **Kerala integrated SDGs into State Planning Board policies**. Strong focus on SDG 3 (Health) and SDG 4 (Education) to attain Improved health indicators and literacy outcomes demonstrating how social sector budgeting can be SDG-aligned without creating parallel structures.

Key words: Climate narratives, environmental sustainability (Localisation of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), sustainability programs.

Introduction: Climate Narratives as Drivers of Sustainability Transitions

“Climate narratives determine what counts as a climate problem, whether it is an environmental issue, economic risk, human rights concern, or governance failure³”.

According to primitive climate narratives, corporate sustainability was often characterized by isolated, philanthropic activities such as tree planting or community donations, rather than a deep integration into core business practices. During this period, environmental narratives were mainly reactive and defensive, employed primarily for managing reputational damage following violations or public criticism. Sustainability was perceived as an external compliance obligation rather than an inherent fundamental principle, and consequently its communication served chiefly to safeguard the company's image, detached from the core objective of developing urban futures that are inclusive, efficient and environmentally responsible. Environmental conditions significantly influence human health, as clean air, water, nutritious food, and stable ecosystems are fundamental prerequisites for human well-being. Suboptimal air quality, stemming from pollution and vehicular emissions, contributes to the incidence of respiratory illnesses, cardiovascular disease, and pulmonary carcinoma, while also exacerbating conditions such as asthma and other chronic ailments. Furthermore, exposure to chemical pollutants and heavy metals can precipitate neurological, developmental, and chronic health disorders. Nearly

³ Moezzi, M., Janda, K. B., & Rotmann, S. (2017). Using stories, narratives, and storytelling in energy and climate change research. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 31, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2017.06.034>

one in four global deaths is linked to environmental risks, showing how crucial environmental protection is for public health. The critical importance of environmental protection for public health is underscored by the statistic that nearly one-quarter of global deaths are associated with environmental hazards. Climate change is primarily driven by historically accumulated emissions, not solely by current output. Evidence from the **Global Carbon Project** confirms that developed regions, notably the European Union and the United States, are responsible for the majority of CO₂ emissions since 1750. While emerging economies like India are now significant annual emitters, their **per capita and historical contributions remain significantly lower**. This fundamental asymmetry is central to the climate justice narrative. This narrative argues for the equitable allocation of the remaining global "carbon space" to ensure developing countries can meet their vital development needs. By linking mitigation responsibility with fairness, differentiated obligations and the right to sustainable development within the present generation, this perspective directly supports **SDG 10 (Reduced Inequalities)** and **SDG 13 (Climate Action)**.

The discursive strategies, frames and stories about climate change are understood, realised and acted upon to bring changes around to make the environment conducive to the wellbeing of humans and achieve development side by side. The shift from ecocentrism to anthropocentrism approach considering the intrinsic value of ecosystems regardless of their present utility to humans has supported intergenerational equity as the ethical mandate to protect the planet's and health for present and future generations ensuring the right of a healthy environment and biodiversity of future generations⁴. The discourse on climate justice and sustainability increasingly frames the financial burden of the climate crisis through the principles of **intra-generational equity and cumulative emissions**. The principle of intergenerational equity is central to UNICEF'S climate advocacy shifting the focus from "conservation" to regeneration actively healing and restoring the damaged ecosystems aligning with the UN Decade on Ecosystem Restoration⁵. The climate narratives moved beyond simply "doing less harm" to actively reshaping and improving the environment understanding the relationship between humans and environment through restoration, systematic change and justice. The acknowledgement of irreversible damage and threat of serious environmental damage in case of lack of scientific uncertainty as an excuse for postponing measures outlining the precautionary principle was the new narrative after Rio Declaration. The Ubuntu/ Vasudhavia Khutumbakam narrative gave thrust to the communal collective responsibility moving beyond the individualistic to collective well being consumption and is exemplified by mission LiFE (Lifestyle for Environment), sustainability discourse emphasises individual responsibility as a component of collective climate action. Mission LiFE promotes voluntary, value-driven climate action that goes beyond regulatory requirements, framing everyday behavioral choices as ethically significant for environmental protection. By linking personal consumption with global sustainability goals, the initiative establishes sustainability as a shared moral and civic responsibility. The **reparative and distributive justice narrative** frames climate change as a consequence of unequal historical responsibility, emphasising **climate debt** accumulated through past pollution⁶. It constructs wealthy nations and major emitters as duty-bearers rather than voluntary donors. Within this narrative, financial and technical assistance becomes a matter of obligation, not charity. The **Loss and Damage Fund** institutionalises this storyline by responding to irreversible climate harms. Equity thus emerges as the central organising principle of global climate governance. In India, through legal and regulatory frameworks, transformative environmental ethics are applied acknowledging the Ethics of reparative justice and communal responsibility as codified in the Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006 legally establishing the principles of reparative justice and communal responsibility. The Act addresses "*historical injustices*" by providing Scheduled

⁴United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)

Warsaw International Mechanism for Loss and Damage, Decision 2/CP.19, COP19 (2013).

⁵ Voulvoulis, N., Giakoumis, T., Hunt, C., Kioupi, V., Petrou, N., Souliotis, I., Vaghela, C., & Rosely, W. B. W. (2022). Systems thinking as a paradigm shift for sustainability transformation. *Global Environmental Change*, 75, 102544. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2022.102544>

⁶ Loos, J., Benra, F., Berbés-Blázquez, M., Bremer, L. L., Chan, K. M. A., Egoh, B., Felipe-Lucia, M., Geneletti, D., Keeler, B., Locatelli, B., Loft, L., Schröter, B., Schröter, M., & Winkler, K. J. (2022). An environmental justice perspective on ecosystem services. *AMBIO*, 52(3), 477–488. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-022-01812-1>

Tribes with legal land tenure over their ancestral territories. It implements ecocentric principles by granting Gram Sabhas the authority to protect and manage "Community Forest Resources." This ensures the sustainable use of biodiversity, leveraging traditional knowledge. India's regulatory landscape is leveraging policy instruments to drive sustainability transitions through corporate action. The Securities and Exchange Board of India's (SEBI's BRSR Core - Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting) mandate, rooted in the principles of intergenerational equity and the precautionary principle, requires the top 250 listed companies (as of FY 2024-25) to secure mandatory assurance for nine specific ESG metrics. These metrics notably include Scope 3 emissions and water usage within the **restorative climate narrative**, thus the Green Credit Programme reimagines environmental governance by incentivising corporate participation in ecosystem revival and restoration, thereby shifting sustainability from compensatory compliance to proactive ecological stewardship.

Nations globally in alignment with SDGs have initiated diverse environmental protection measures, tackling urgent ecological challenges like climate change, biodiversity loss, and pollution and attaining development side by side. The CBD's NBSAP portal shows that countries making NBSAPs the primary vehicle across regions from high-income states to megadiverse and developing nations have produced national plans or updated strategies aligned with global biodiversity goals, defining a third key function of the NBSAP is to integrate biodiversity concerns ("mainstream") across all government sectors like agriculture, energy, and finance thus making nature protection a shared, cross-governmental responsibility.⁷ A key global target known as "30 by 30" involves more than 100 nations committing to protect 30% of the planet's land and oceans by 2030, reflecting a collective effort to expand protected areas and conserve ecosystems vital for climate stability and biodiversity. *The National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan*⁸ (NBSAP) is the primary tool adopted by signatory nations to fulfill their obligations under the *Convention on Biological Diversity*⁹ (CBD) at the domestic level. Article 6 of the CBD mandates that every signatory legally develop and regularly update an NBSAP to guide its biodiversity conservation efforts. An NBSAP serves as a comprehensive national "roadmap" for the preservation and management of nature, composed of two key parts, the first section is NATIONAL STRATEGY section that lays out the country's long-term vision for biodiversity and defines the high-level policy interventions planned to achieve this vision. The second one is the *Action Plan*, which entails the specific, actionable steps, such as implementing new laws, conducting research, and launching public awareness campaigns, required to realize the National Strategy within a defined timeline. India's alignment with its sustainability efforts with the guidance of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is evident in the development of successive national biodiversity strategy documents and an updated National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan, which establishes national targets, species recovery programmes and pathways for ecosystem restoration. The National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP) is important for India as it aligns India with the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework (GBF) to halt and reverse nature loss by 2030. India has specifically updated its **NBSAP 2024-2030**, which includes 23 national targets, such as protecting 30% of its land and marine areas by 2030.

Climate Resilience :Planetary Sustainability Framework

Climate justice narratives **integrate with environmental integrity, social equity and economic viability to attain sustainable development** and bring ethical considerations to environmental policy highlighting disproportionate impact on the Global South, women, indigenous and marginalised communities, ensuring that both the burdens and benefits of climate action are shared equally, addressing historical injustices faced by low-

⁷ <https://www.cbd.int/nbsap>

<https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage>.

⁸ Prip, C. (2017). The Convention on Biological Diversity as a legal framework for safeguarding ecosystem services. *Ecosystem Services*, 29, 199–204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2017.02.015>

⁹ Essl, F., Latombe, G., Lenzner, B., Pagad, S., Seebens, H., Smith, K., Wilson, J. R. U., & Genovesi, P. (2020). The Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)'s Post-2020 target on invasive alien species –what should it include and how should it be monitored? *NeoBiota*, 62, 99–121. <https://doi.org/10.3897/neobiota.62.53972>

income communities. Two fundamental pillars supporting this framework are distributive justice and procedural justice means to ensuring fair distribution of both the impacts of climate change and the benefits of climate solutions¹⁰. Procedural justice demands climate decisions are made with the involvement of people, especially those most affected by climate impacts, should have real and meaningful involvement in designing open, transparent, and inclusive climate policies. The participation of women, farmers and vulnerable groups help in designing the resilient climate policies that reflect the actual areas to work for achieving the SDG's. Without procedural justice, climate justice and distributive justice framework is purposeless.

Forest conservation and reforestation programs are prominent in several countries. **China's** reforestation efforts, including the "Green Wall of China," aim to expand forest cover and battle desertification¹¹. Since the 1970s, China has increased its forest area significantly through large nationwide tree-planting and ecosystem restoration programs and pledged to permanently protect 90% of its tropical forests, greatly exceeding global conservation goals and highlighting a bold, forest-centric climate strategy. In **Africa**, the Great Green Wall initiative¹², led by the African Union, targets land restoration across the Sahel by restoring degraded landscapes, combating desertification and improving community resilience to climate impacts. Its ambitious goals include restoring 100 million hectares of land, sequestering 250 million tons of carbon and creating 10 million jobs by 2030. **Australia's** national strategy likewise establishes a shared framework of objectives, priority actions, and targets spanning both terrestrial and marine environments demonstrating federal strategy successfully integrating inventories, Indigenous land management, and ecosystem restoration efforts¹³. Many developing countries have also translated commitments into NBSAPs for instance Tanzania's NBSAP articulating national priorities, sectoral mainstreaming and community-based conservation measures illustrating that BAPs are a near-universal instrument for implementing the CBD's species-and-habitat obligations at national level. *Waste management and recycling models often formulated as National Biodiversity Strategies and Action Plans (NBSAPs) emerged as exemplary practices to implement the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)'s obligations regarding species and habitat conservation.* The town of Kamikatsu in Japan pioneered a zero-waste initiative¹⁴ where residents sort waste into dozens of categories, achieving recycling rates far above national averages and serving as a model for sustainable consumption behaviors International experiences show diverse models of SDG localisation, with Brazil integrating SDGs into municipal budgeting through strong participatory governance and local councils whereas Germany institutionalise *Voluntary Local Reviews (VLRs)* to mainstream SDGs into urban sustainability policies, and Japan incentivising local governments through its *SDGs Future Cities* programme supported by national grants. In contrast, India's approach is more administratively decentralised, relying on planning instruments, indices, and dashboards coordinated by NITI Aayog rather than local fiscal autonomy. This localisation has transformed Indian governance from a top-down model into a data-driven and participatory system, strengthening evidence-based policymaking, improving targeting of vulnerable groups, reinforcing centre-state-local coordination, enhancing accountability through rankings and dashboards, and deepening community participation via GPDs. Collectively, SDG localisation¹⁵ in India has converted

¹⁰ <https://unu.edu/ehs/article/5-key-dimensions-climate-justice>

¹¹ Jiang, H. (2016). Taking down the "Great Green Wall": the science and policy discourse of desertification and its control in China. In *Springer earth system sciences* (pp. 513–536). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-16014-1_19

¹² Mirzabaev, A., Sacande, M., Motlagh, F., Shyroka, A., & Martucci, A. (2021). Economic efficiency and targeting of the African Great Green Wall. *Nature Sustainability*, 5(1), 17–25. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-021-00801-8>

¹³ Telesetsky, A., Cliquet, A., & Akhtar-Khavari, A. (2016). *Ecological restoration in international environmental law*. Taylor & Francis.

¹⁴ Herrador, M., De Jong, W., Nasu, K., & Granrath, L. (2023). The rising phenomenon of circular cities in Japan. Case studies of Kamikatsu, Osaki and Kitakyushu. *The Science of the Total Environment*, 894, 165052. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.165052>

¹⁵ Suri, S. N., Miraglia, M., & Ferrannini, A. (2021). Voluntary local reviews as drivers for SDG localisation and sustainable human development. *Journal of Human Development and Capabilities*, 22(4), 725–736. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19452829.2021.1986689>

global goals into measurable, locally owned development outcomes with tangible societal impact. The narrative of reparative justice¹⁶, often captured by the maxim “*you broke it, you fix it,*” frames climate change as a moral and ethical harm arising from historically disproportionate emissions creating an ethical obligation on historically high-emitting nations to support restoration and remediation efforts¹⁷. However, contemporary climate governance recognises that environmental restoration cannot rest solely on developed nations. In line with the principle of Common but Differentiated Responsibilities (CBDR), developing and underdeveloped countries are also required to contribute to climate action, albeit in a manner calibrated to their capabilities and developmental needs. This balanced approach reconciles reparative justice with shared global stewardship, ensuring collective action while preserving equity and the right to sustainable development.¹⁸

Climate Resilience, and Localised SDG Narratives: Integrated Human Social Security-Inclusive Development Framework in India.

Climate justice narrative emphasises inequality, historical responsibility and human rights as the key issues for attaining the SDGs. Climate narratives influence law-making, governance priorities, corporate behaviour, and public participation. Two fundamental pillars, distributive justice and procedural justice supporting this framework connects climate justice narrative of climate change with poverty, displacement, labour, and intergenerational equity and proposes Justice narratives that lead to inclusive and rights-based policies.

India’s institutional framework for SDG localisation is anchored in a model of *cooperative federalism*, coordinated by *NITI Aayog* in alignment with the *United Nations Sustainable Development Goals*. This framework translates global commitments into nationally and sub-nationally actionable strategies. Key instruments include the *SDG India Index*, which benchmarks state and Union Territory performance, the *National Indicator Framework (NIF)*, which standardises data-driven monitoring across sectors and *State, District, and Local Action Plans on SDGs*, which operationalise targets through decentralised planning. Together, these mechanisms ensure vertical and horizontal integration of SDGs across governance levels, strengthening accountability and context-specific implementation. India has demonstrated significant gains in certain SDGs by Localisation of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) by translating global SDG targets into **context-specific policies, plans, budgets, and indicators at the state, district, urban local body (ULB), and village levels**, ensuring that development responds to local needs and disparities¹⁹. Effective localisation of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) at the policy level requires embedding global goals within existing sub-national planning frameworks while clearly assigning institutional responsibility to state and local governments. Robust monitoring depends on the use of disaggregated data to track progress across sectors and populations. Together, these measures transform SDGs from global commitments into actionable, locally responsive governance tools. At the **state level**, SDG localisation in India is reflected through State SDG Vision Documents that align existing welfare and development schemes with global goals rather than creating parallel policy structures. **Kerala** offers a notable example, where SDGs are systematically integrated into policies formulated by the State Planning Board. The state placed a strong emphasis on *SDG 3 (Good Health and Well-being)* through schemes such as *Aardram Mission and Ayushman Arogya Kendras*, and on *SDG 4 (Quality Education)* through initiatives like *General Education Protection Mission and digital schooling reforms*.

¹⁶ *Handbook on Methods in Restorative Justice Research*. (2025). BRILL.

¹⁷ Chausson, A., Turner, B., Seddon, D., Chabaneix, N., Girardin, C. a. J., Kapos, V., Key, I., Roe, D., Smith, A., Woroniecki, S., & Seddon, N. (2020). Mapping the effectiveness of nature-based solutions for climate change adaptation. *Global Change Biology*, 26(11), 6134–6155.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15310>

¹⁸ United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)

Article 3(1) – Establishes the principle of Common but Differentiated Responsibilities and Respective Capabilities (CBDR–RC). UN Doc. FCCC/INFORMAL/84 (1992).

¹⁹Pathak, V., & Deshkar, S. (2023). Transitions towards Sustainable and Resilient Rural Areas in Revitalising India: A Framework for Localising SDGs at Gram Panchayat Level. *Sustainability*, 15(9), 7536. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15097536>

Decentralised planning through empowered local self-governments ensures contextual implementation and accountability. The outcome has been reflected in improved health indicators, high literacy levels, and human development outcomes²⁰. A prime example is the *Aspirational Districts Programme (ADP)*, a flagship initiative launched in 2018, targeting 112 of India's most socio-economically backward districts with the object of aligning district performance with key SDGs integrating outcomes in health, education, and infrastructure. The constitutional vision of social and economic justice under Articles 38, 39, and 41 further underpins poverty-alleviation efforts²¹. The programme employs a data-driven monitoring approach, utilizing a monthly **Delta Ranking** to strengthen targeted interventions. This ranking is based on 49 Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) across five critical sectors, with the following weight distribution as *Health & Nutrition (30%), Education (30%), Agriculture & Water Resources (20%), Financial Inclusion & Skill Development (10%) & Basic Infrastructure (10%)*. This methodology ensures that SDG localization effectively responds to region-specific development challenges. A flagship initiative supporting *SDG1* is *Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA)*, which provides a legal right to at least 100 days of wage employment to rural households, strengthening livelihood security and reducing distress migration. Complementing this is the *National Food Security Act, 2013* marking a significant shift from *welfare-based food distribution to a legally enforceable right to food*, which ensures subsidised food grains to vulnerable populations, addressing chronic hunger calorie deficiency, and income poverty simultaneously. Constitutionally, *Article 47* directs the State to raise the level of nutrition and standard of living, reinforcing food security as a governance priority. Nutritional outcomes, particularly among children and pregnant women, are pursued through *Integrated Child Development Services (ICDS)* and the *Mid-Day Meal Scheme*, further strengthened under the *PM-POSHAN* framework and *PM Matru Vandana Yojana*.

The **right to health**²² has been read into *Article 21* of the Constitution of India by judicial interpretation, imposing a positive obligation on the State to provide accessible and affordable healthcare. The directive under *Article 47* formed the normative foundation for SDG-3 oriented governance that mandates the State to improve public health and nutrition. Health-related impoverishment is addressed through *Ayushman Bharat*²³, which reduces out-of-pocket medical expenditure for poor households scheme addressing catastrophic health expenditure and advances universal health coverage. The initiative advancing SDG-3 is *Ayushman Bharat*, launched in 2018, has two components: (i) *Health and Wellness Centres (HWCs)*, which strengthen primary healthcare by focusing on preventive and promotive services, and (ii) *the Pradhan Mantri Jan Arogya Yojana*²⁴ (*PM-JAY*), which provides health insurance coverage for secondary and tertiary care to economically vulnerable populations. A core SDG-3 target, Maternal and child health, is supported through programmes such as the *National Health Mission (NHM)*, *Janani Suraksha Yojana*²⁵, and *Mission Indradhanush*, which aim to reduce preventable maternal and child deaths and improve immunisation coverage. Social protection is

²⁰ Suri, S. N., Miraglia, M., & Ferrannini, A. (2021). Voluntary local reviews as drivers for SDG localisation and sustainable human development. *Journal of Human Development and Capabilities*, 22(4), 725–736. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19452829.2021.1986689>

²¹ Suri, S. N., Miraglia, M., & Ferrannini, A. (2021). Voluntary local reviews as drivers for SDG localisation and sustainable human development. *Journal of Human Development and Capabilities*, 22(4), 725–736. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19452829.2021.1986689>

²² Ali, M. I. (2023). Navigating Smog: Legislation addressing children's right to health in India and Pakistan. *Human Rights in the Global South (HRGS)*, 2(2), 139–161. <https://doi.org/10.56784/hrgs.v2i2.74>

²³ Lahariya, C. (2018). 'Ayushman Bharat' program and universal health coverage in India. *Indian Pediatrics*, 55(6), 495–506. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13312-018-1341-1>

²⁴ Prinja, S., Dixit, J., Nimesh, R., Garg, B., Khurana, R., Paliwal, A., & Aggarwal, A. K. (2024). Impact of health benefit package policy interventions on service utilisation under government-funded health insurance in Punjab, India: analysis of Ayushman Bharat Pradhan Mantri Jan Arogya Yojana (PM-JAY). *The Lancet Regional Health - Southeast Asia*, 28, 100462. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lansea.2024.100462>

²⁵ Health indicators show improvement, with the **maternal mortality ratio (MMR) declining to 97 per 100,000 live births (2018–20)**

strengthened through schemes such as *Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojana*, which promotes universal access to banking, and *Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana*, aimed at providing affordable housing to economically weaker sections. These frameworks collectively reflect India’s integrated approach to achieve SDG-1 and SDG-3 thus moving beyond income poverty to address structural deprivation, vulnerability, and inequality and linking welfare delivery with dignity, rights, and sustainable development. Closely connected with public health, gender equality, education, and labour participation, SDG-6 seeks to ensure availability and sustainable management of **water and sanitation for all**. The flagship initiative **Jal Jeevan Mission** aims to provide Functional Household Tap Connections (FHTCs) to all rural households, while the **Swachh Bharat Mission** focuses on eliminating open defecation and improving solid and liquid waste management. As women and girls disproportionately bear the burden of water collection, sanitation insecurity, and hygiene-related health risks, and there is direct gender dimension as lack of water and sanitation affects **women’s health, education, and workforce participation** partly attributed to reduced time spent on unpaid water and care work in states with improved water access.

State-wise Comparative Gender Outcomes (Illustrative)

State	Tap Water Coverage (%)	Female LFPR (%)	Female Literacy (%)	MMR (approx.)
Kerala	~100	~36	92	43
Tamil Nadu	~99	~34	86	60
Uttar Pradesh	~80	~28	63	167
Bihar	~76	~20	60	149
Rajasthan	~90	~32	57	141

(Sources: *Jal Jeevan Mission Dashboard; PLFS; Census & SRS*)

Several Indian states have adopted innovative governance models to localise the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) through decentralised planning and institutional integration. To embed the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) locally, India has introduced region- and sector-specific indices to track development outcomes at a more granular level. One such instrument is the *North Eastern Region (NER) District SDG Index*. Developed in partnership with the *Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region* and the *United Nations Development Programme*, the 2023–24 edition covers 121 districts across the eight North-Eastern states. It employs 84 indicators across 15 SDGs to evaluate district performance, providing an evidence-based method to identify regional strengths and weaknesses. The district of **Hnahthial** was the top performer in this edition. In addition, the *SDG Urban Index* complements these efforts by benchmarking the performance of 56 urban areas using 77 indicators. This initiative aims to foster competitive federalism and promote data-driven governance in urban settings. Together, these instruments are crucial for deepening SDG localisation by customizing both planning and monitoring processes to reflect the unique specificities of different regions and urban centers. **Uttarakhand** has aligned SDGs with the 29 subjects devolved to Panchayats, developing a **Model Panchayat Plan** that integrates village-level planning with global development targets. **Odisha** operationalises SDG localisation through its “**5T**” *governance approach*, **Teamwork, Technology, Transparency, Transformation, and Time limit** leveraging Panchayati Raj Institutions for grassroots implementation. **Uttar Pradesh** has piloted SDG-integrated district planning in districts such as Pilibhit and Moradabad by mapping departmental objectives, including those of Rural Development and Forest Departments, directly with SDG indicators. At the urban governance level, **Delhi** has established a **State**

Indicator Framework (SIF) 2024 comprising 226 indicators to monitor SDG progress across its administrative and urban districts²⁶. Collectively, these initiatives demonstrate how institutional innovation and decentralisation strengthen SDG localisation across diverse governance contexts in India. Adaptation & Resilience narrative focuses on coping, resilience, and local survival strategic framework for vulnerable regions facing floods, droughts, heatwaves.

Localisation of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in India at the grassroots level is primarily achieved through **Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs)** by restructuring the **Gram Panchayat Development Plan²⁷ (GPDP)** process around Localised SDGs (LSDGs). To translate global goals into locally intelligible priorities, the **Ministry of Panchayati Raj** has mapped all 17 SDGs into **nine thematic areas**, enabling villages to plan in relatable terms such as “Water Sufficient Village” or “Healthy Village.” These themes include *Poverty-Free and Enhanced Livelihoods Villages* (SDGs 1, 2, 8, 10), *Child-Friendly Villages* (SDGs 2, 3, 4), and *Clean and Green Villages* (SDGs 6, 7, 13, 15), with a strong focus on sanitation, drinking water, and women’s welfare. Through the annual **People’s Plan Campaign (Sabki Yojana Sabka Vikas)**, Gram Sabhas prepare GPDPs that prioritise these themes based on local needs. This approach directly strengthens SDG 6 (Clean Water and Sanitation) and SDG 5 (Gender Equality), ensuring that SDG localisation is participatory, context-specific, and development-oriented. From an agricultural sustainability perspective, initiatives such as *Pradhan Mantri Krishi Sinchayee Yojana* and *Paramparagat Krishi Vikas Yojana* promote efficient water use, soil health, and climate-resilient farming. The National Mission on Sustainable Agriculture further aligns farm practices with environmental sustainability²⁸.

Adaptation & Resilience narrative focuses on coping, resilience, and local survival strategic framework for vulnerable regions facing floods, droughts, heatwaves. The narrative encourages decentralised and community-led solutions. Under **SDG 6 (Clean Water and Sanitation)**, the “*Water Sufficient Village*” approach is operationalised through the **Jal Jeevan Mission**, where Panchayats function as public utilities; states such as Goa and Haryana have achieved 100% *Har Ghar Jal* certification by integrating water planning into GPDPs, while districts like **Wayanad** have attained ODF Plus (open defecation free) status through community sanitation and waste management initiatives. **SDG 5 (Gender Equality)** is advanced through *Women-Friendly Village* models, including *Mahila Sabhas* under Kerala’s Kudumbashree framework and Odisha’s PRI processes, ensuring women’s priorities are reflected in final plans, alongside *Bal Sabhas* in Rajasthan that mainstream children’s voices in local governance. Statutorily, the **Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009²⁹ (RTE Act)** operationalizes Article 21A by imposing enforceable obligations on the State and local authorities to ensure school access, infrastructure norms, and teacher accountability. The Act reflects an egalitarian approach by addressing socio-economic barriers to elementary education. The **National Education Policy, 2020³⁰ (NEP 2020)** serves as the principal reform framework, emphasizing universal access from early childhood to higher education, multidisciplinary learning, skill development, and digital inclusion. Major initiatives supporting SDG-4 include **Samagra Shiksha**, an integrated scheme covering school education from pre-primary to senior secondary levels, aimed at improving retention, equity, and learning outcomes. **PM-POSHAN** (earlier Mid-Day Meal Scheme) addresses nutrition-related barriers to education, particularly for children from disadvantaged backgrounds. In higher and digital education, programmes such as

²⁶<https://www.google.com/search?q=Delhi&kgmid=/m/09f07&sa=X&ved=2ahUKEwi628G4566SAxWRxjgGHQqHlvkQgPwRegYIAQglEBE>

²⁷ Pathak, V., & Deshkar, S. (2023). Transitions towards Sustainable and Resilient Rural Areas in Revitalising India: A Framework for Localising SDGs at Gram Panchayat Level. *Sustainability*, 15(9), 7536. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15097536>

²⁸ Zaman, A. (2019). *Integrated farming systems and agricultural sustainability*. New India Publishing Agency.

²⁹ Singh, ए. क. स. A. K. (2024). *Gender, School and Society जेंडर, स्कूल एण्ड सोसाइटी*. Bhartiya Sahitya Inc.

³⁰ Chakrabarty, B., & Joshi, M. (2024). An Evaluation of the Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009. *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)*, 13(5), 1035–1039. <https://doi.org/10.21275/sr24515213618>

SWAYAM, DIKSHA, and the **National Digital Education Architecture (NDEAR)** promote open access, teacher training, and technology-enabled learning representing that the SDG-4 adoption in Indian policy framework is a shift from mere enrolment-driven education to quality, inclusivity, and lifelong learning³¹.

Addressing structural gender injustice, SDG-5 is closely interwoven with the **Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER)** for girls at the secondary level has reached parity with boys, and women now constitute nearly **49% of total higher education enrolment/institutional** guarantees of equality, anti-discrimination, and affirmative action, as well as a robust framework of laws and policies and Judicial interpretation has expanded these guarantees under **Article 21**, recognising dignity, bodily autonomy, and freedom from violence as integral to women's rights. India's commitment to gender equality is reinforced by its obligations under the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) and SDG-5, coordinated by **NITI Aayog**, which tracks indicators such as female labour-force participation, sex ratio, access to education, health outcomes, and representation in governance through the SDG India Index. transformative vision of gender equality aligned with global SDG-5 commitments advancing SDG-5 include **Beti Bachao Beti Padhao**³², which addresses declining child sex ratios and promotes girls' education; **Pradhan Mantri Ujjwala Yojana**, which reduces health and time poverty by providing clean cooking fuel to women; and **Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojana**, which enhances women's financial inclusion³³. Political empowerment is promoted through constitutional reservations for women in Panchayati Raj Institutions under **Articles 243D and 243T**, enabling grassroots leadership and participation. Programmes like **Digital India and Pradhan Mantri Gramin Digital Saksharta Abhiyan**³⁴ (**PMGDISHA**) promotes digital literacy among rural women, enabling access to climate information, financial services, and e-governance platforms. The **National Rural Livelihood Mission (NRLM)** supports women's self-help groups in adopting climate-resilient livelihoods while integrating digital banking and market access.

For **SDG 13 (Climate Action)**, initiatives such as **Meenangadi Gram Panchayat** India's first carbon-neutral panchayat and **Palli Panchayat**, a solar-powered village, demonstrate PRI-led climate action. Progress across these themes is measured through the **Panchayat Development Index (PDI)**, which tracks performance against 144 local targets, transforming SDGs from abstract commitments into enforceable village-level service delivery obligations. Under **SDG 13 (Climate Action)**, the "**Clean and Green Village**" approach demonstrates how Panchayati Raj Institutions can lead local climate action. To systematically measure the effectiveness of SDG localisation at the grassroots level, the government introduced the **Panchayat Development Index (PDI)**. The PDI assesses the performance of Gram Panchayats across **nine Localised SDG themes** using **144 locally defined targets**, capturing progress in service delivery and development outcomes. This evidence-based monitoring framework enables districts and states to compare performance, identify gaps, and prioritise support for lagging Panchayats. By linking planning with measurable outcomes, the PDI strengthens accountability and enhances the impact of decentralised governance.

Integrated Framework for Industrial Resilience, Urban Sustainability and Consumption Ethics

Development & Growth Narrative frames climate action as compatible with economic growth and development with focuses on green jobs, clean energy, infrastructure, and innovation with the risk factor diluting environmental protection if growth dominates sustainability. Urban Local Bodies (ULBs) play a central role in advancing SDGs in India by integrating global targets into municipal planning, budgeting, and service delivery,

³¹ (2022–23), the **Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER)** for girls at the secondary level has reached parity with boys, and women now constitute nearly **49% of total higher education enrolment**

³² Beti Bachao Beti Padhao Campaign: An attempt to Social Empowerment. (2020). *Journal of Critical Reviews*, 7(13). <https://doi.org/10.31838/jcr.07.13.212>

³³ According to Periodic Labour Force Survey (PLFS) 2022–23, India's **female labour force participation rate (LFPR)** increased to approximately **37%**, up from 23.3% in 2017–18, though still significantly lower than male participation

³⁴ Pathak, V., & Deshkar, S. (2023). Transitions towards Sustainable and Resilient Rural Areas in Revitalising India: A Framework for Localising SDGs at Gram Panchayat Level. *Sustainability*, 15(9), 7536. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15097536>

particularly for **SDG 11 (Sustainable Cities and Communities)**. Flagship national initiatives such as the **Smart Cities Mission** operationalise **SDG 9 (Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure)**³⁵ and **SDG 11** through city-level indicators on mobility, housing, waste management, digital governance, and resilient infrastructure, supported by tools like the Ease of Living Index and Municipal Performance Index. Complementing this, **AMRUT 2.0** advances **SDG 6** and **SDG 11** by ensuring universal water supply and promoting a circular economy of water through reuse and recycling, while **Swachh Bharat Mission-Urban 2.0** aligns with **SDG 12** by driving Garbage Free Cities via competitive rankings under Swachh Survekshan. At the city level, mobility-focused interventions under **SDG 11.2** in **Pune** and **Ahmedabad** including Bus Rapid Transit Systems and the transition to electric buses under the FAME-II scheme demonstrate how data-driven urban governance can reduce carbon footprints while improving sustainable urban transport outcomes³⁶Urban case studies further demonstrate how SDGs are operationalised through city-level governance in India. Under **SDG 11.6 (Waste Management)**, **Indore** has consistently ranked as India's cleanest city by achieving 100% waste segregation at source and converting legacy dumpsites into green belts, advancing **SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production)**. Similarly, **Ambikapur** has pioneered a decentralised waste management model through women's self-help groups, complemented by innovations such as the "Garbage Café." In the domain of **SDG 11.1 (Housing and Slum Redevelopment)**, Odisha's **Jaga Mission** stands out as the world's largest slum titling and upgradation programme, simultaneously addressing **SDG 1 (No Poverty)** and **SDG 11** by securing land rights and improving urban infrastructure. For **SDG 6 (Clean Water)**, **Puri** has emerged as the first Indian city to provide 24/7 "Drink from Tap" potable water compliant with ISO 10500 standards. Progress across these domains is monitored through the **SDG Urban Index**, developed by **NITI Aayog** in collaboration with **BMZ**, which benchmarks 56 urban areas across 77 indicators covering mobility, housing, and environmental performance, thereby strengthening data-driven urban SDG implementation. With near-universal sanitation coverage, expanded access to drinking water, and the swift growth of renewable energy capacity, India is emerging as a global frontrunner in the clean energy transition. Furthermore, improvements are also evident in **SDG 9 (Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure)** and **SDG 11 (Sustainable Cities)**, marked by the expansion of digital infrastructure, increased financial inclusion, and reforms in urban service delivery

Persistent Challenges and Gaps

Empirical research indicates that SDG localisation in India functions as a double-edged governance instrument, accelerating development outcomes while simultaneously exposing structural deficits. Evidence from the NITI Aayog SDG India Index 2023–24 suggests that states such as Kerala and Tamil Nadu, which early integrated SDGs into budgeting and planning, demonstrate faster gains in health and education compared to fragmented adopters. At the same time, district-level datasets reveal sharp intra-state inequalities hidden by national averages, as seen through the Aspirational Districts Programme. Persistent challenges include village-level data gaps, limited fiscal autonomy of local bodies, and capacity constraints in monitoring complex SDG indicators. Overall, SDG localisation has shifted Indian governance from centralised, scheme-based administration to data-driven and participatory planning, but its long-term success depends on strengthening local capacity, financial decentralisation, and monitoring systems. At a broader level, this reflects how evolving climate narratives from climate action as an economic burden to a "win-win" modernisation opportunity combined with policy instruments such as Mission LiFE, regulatory schemes, incentives, and green finance, are shaping India's sustainability transitions toward a greener and more inclusive future. India struggles with persistent structural challenges in meeting several Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly social and environmental targets. Socially, the country lags in achieving **SDG 5 (Gender Equality)** and **SDG 10 (Reduced Inequalities)**, evidenced by low female labor force participation and enduring income and regional disparities. Progress on

³⁵ Ciambra, A., Siragusa, A., Proietti, P., & Stamos, I. (2023). Monitoring SDG localisation: an evidence-based approach to standardised monitoring frameworks. *Journal of Urban Ecology*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1093/jue/juad013>

³⁶ Pathak, V., & Deshkar, S. (2023). Transitions towards Sustainable and Resilient Rural Areas in Revitalising India: A Framework for Localising SDGs at Gram Panchayat Level. *Sustainability*, 15(9), 7536. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15097536>

SDG 2 (Zero Hunger) is also insufficient with nutritional outcomes not keeping pace with economic growth. Furthermore, long-term environmental goals (**SDGs 12, 13, 14, and 15**) face escalating pressure from climate change, biodiversity loss, urbanization, and unsustainable consumption patterns. Progress in poverty reduction (SDG 1) has accelerated, and **health outcomes (SDG 3)**, including life expectancy and maternal mortality, have improved. However, the pandemic highlighted systemic vulnerabilities in the health sector. While access to **education (SDG 4)** has broadened, especially at the elementary level, issues like learning outcomes and the digital divide persist.

Adaptation and resilience narratives in India have evolved alongside the changing orientation of Five-Year Plans, reflecting a gradual transition from growth-centric planning to sustainability-oriented development. Earlier Plans primarily focused on infrastructure expansion and agricultural productivity, with limited attention to climate vulnerability. However, from the Tenth and Eleventh Five-Year Plans onwards, environmental sustainability, disaster risk reduction, and climate-sensitive development began receiving policy recognition. The Twelfth Five-Year Plan (2012–2017) marked a significant shift by explicitly integrating climate resilience, inclusive growth, and ecological sustainability into development planning, emphasising water conservation, sustainable agriculture, and urban resilience. Localised policy instruments such as State Action Plans on Climate Change, watershed development programmes, and district-level disaster management frameworks emerged as mechanisms to operationalise adaptation at sub-national levels. These initiatives reflected an understanding that climate risks manifest unevenly across regions and require decentralised responses rooted in local socio-economic realities. Adaptation narratives are also increasingly aligned with livelihood security, poverty reduction, and community participation, thereby linking climate governance with social justice objectives. Research and policy assessments indicate that the incorporation of resilience thinking into planning processes strengthened institutional coordination and encouraged integration of environmental concerns into sectoral policies. Consequently, the evolution of India's planning framework demonstrates how adaptation and resilience narratives have transformed development policy from reactive environmental management towards proactive and locally responsive climate governance. Globally, India is categorized as a "moderate performer" among large developing economies. The nation's progress is notable in large-scale achievements like universal sanitation, energy access, and digital infrastructure. Nevertheless, issues related to the quality, equity, and long-term sustainability of these results persist. Over the past decade, India's journey towards the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) has evolved from merely adopting goals to implementing outcome-focused governance, demonstrating a clear shift from policy intention to measurable delivery in many areas. However, to meet the remaining SDG targets by 2030, accelerated action is necessary. This acceleration must be coupled with a stronger emphasis on gender equality and addressing inequality, deeper integration of climate considerations, and better data quality and execution at the local level. India's decade-long journey toward achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) signifies a shift from mere goal adoption to outcome-oriented governance, with clear progress made in moving from policy intent to measurable delivery across several sectors. Yet, to meet the remaining SDG targets by 2030, accelerated action is essential. This must include a stronger emphasis on addressing gender and inequality, deeper integration of climate considerations, improved data quality, and enhanced implementation at the local level. India's position after a decade of SDG implementation can be described as progressive but incomplete marked by institutional innovation and sectoral success, yet constrained by persistent social and environmental challenges that demand transformative, rather than incremental, solutions. The *paradigmatic narrative shift* in climate governance from tolerance to accountability, from economic dominance to equity, and from short-term growth to intergenerational justice. This shift is central to constructing sustainable, ethical, and legally robust responses to climate change.